IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.6339 of 2004

Between:

Kota Jangaiah @ Kota Janga Reddy, S/o Kota Chinna Ramaiah,
R/o Piglipur, Batasingaram Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal,

Ranga Reddy District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 District Registrar Stamps and Registration, at Moosapet,

Ranga Reddy District.
3 Sub-Registrar, Stamps and Registration, Pedda Amberpet,

Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
4 N.V.S.Naidu, S/o Nageshwar Rao, R/o 101, Satya Sai Complex,

Srinivasa Colony, Amberpet, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to pass an order or orders one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus under Article 226 of Constitution of India by declaring the inaction of respondent No.1 for not giving no objection certificate in respect of land survey No.17/1/OU to the extent of 10 acres situated at Piglipur Village, Batasingaram Gram Panchayat, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District inspite of the report of the R.D.O., East, M.R.O., Hayathnagar including the Revenue Records and pass books which speaks about to patta land conform the title and possession of the petitioner as the said is totally bad in eye of

law, unconstitutional and against the principles of natural justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SURESH SHIV SAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent NO.4: None appeared.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner seeks a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in not giving NOC in respect of land in Survey No.17/1/OU admeasuring Acs.10.00 situated at Piglipur village.

Though the Interlocutory application is listed, the learned Assistant Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that on 09.06.2004 itself the first respondent has issued NOC and that the writ petition is filed under a misconception. A copy of the Memo letter No.4040/2002, dated 09.06.2004 is placed before this Court, which is a copy of the NOC. Therefore the cause of action does not survive.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed.

_____

October 14, 2004. **V.V.S. RAO, J**

YS

To

1 The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The District Registrar, Stamps and Registration, at Moosapet,

Ranga Reddy District.
3 The Sub-Registrar, Stamps and Registration, Pedda Amberpet,

Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

4 Two CCS to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T)

5 Two CD copies.